

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,551	07/20/2004	Takahiro OKUIE	040353	4550
23850	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			TRAN, HUAN HUU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		í. <i>t</i> .	/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
,	10/710,551	OKUIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Huan H. Tran	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the provision of the provi	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ter <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) x Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2004 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docun 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s\Mail Date	
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	·/	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/21/04;10/20/05;12/13/05</u> .	6) Other:	·	

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP- U 64-40548 cited in the IDS filed on 10/20/05 or JP U 5-68650 cited in the IDS filed on 07/21/04.

As to claim 1, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, JP-U 64-40548 or JP-U 5-68650 discloses a transfer film cassette to be attached to a printer provided with an optical sensor (8), comprising: a transfer film (2) having a mark to be detected by said optical sensor, and a case (1) having a surface (7) which causes diffuse reflection at an opposed position to said optical sensor with said transfer film (2) interposed when being attached to said printer.

As to claim 2, as seen in Fig. 2, the optical sensor (8) detects a light that is reflected by the surface (7) and passes through the transfer film (2).

As to claim 3, as seen in Fig.1, the supply spool is (3) and the take-up spool is (4).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kyogoku et al. (JP 11-240219 cited in the IDS filed on 12/13/2005).

As to claim 1, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Kyogoku et al. discloses a transfer film cassette to be attached to a printer provided with an optical sensor (7), comprising: a transfer film (1) having a mark to be detected by said optical sensor, and a case (2) having a surface (6) which causes diffuse reflection at an opposed position to said optical sensor with said transfer film (1) interposed when being attached to said printer.

As to claim 2, as seen in Fig. 2, the optical sensor (7) detects a light that is reflected by the surface (6) and passes through the transfer film (1).

As to claim 3, as seen in Fig.1, the supply spool is (3) and the take-up spool is (4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP_U 64-40548 cited in the IDS filed on 10/20/05, JP U 5-68650 cited in the IDS filed on 07/21/04, or Kyogoku et al. (JP 11-240219 cited in the IDS filed on 12/13/2005) in view of Hadley (US Patent 6010259) or Amano (US Patent 5144331).
- JP U 64-40548 cited in the IDS filed on 10/20/05 , JP U 5-68650 cited in the IDS filed on 07/21/04, or Kyogoku et al. (JP 11-240219 cited in the IDS filed on 12/13/2005 discloses the claimed invention as explained in the rejection above except for the limitation "wherein a front of said mark in a conveying direction of said transfer film is transparent, and said mark is opaque"

so as to make it possible to clearly identify changes in the amount of light reflected from the identifying marks.

Hadley or Amano discloses such limitation. See Figs. 2-3 and Col. 5, lines 58-65 of Hadley. See Fig. 1 and Col. 4, lines 45-68 of Amano.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the color identification marks in the primary references with the characteristic taught by Hadley or Amano so as to make it possible to clearly identify changes in the amount of light reflected from the identifying marks.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Prior art of record do not teach or suggest that the diffuse reflecting surface is a rough surface.

 Thus, a reflectance ratio of the light at the reflecting surface is smaller than that of an evaporated film, a plating film or the like.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan H. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2261. The examiner can normally be reached on at work on T-F from 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2861

Page 5

hht 03/23/06